IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 6TH JUNE 2007 / 16TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 14714 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

S.P.ANTONY,S/O.PERU ANTONY,
AGED 66 YEARS, SRAMBICAL HOUSE,
EDAYAR,BINANIPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.SAJEEV KUMAR K.GOPAL
SRI.BINOY VASUDEVAN

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA,REP.BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ERNAKULAM.

3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, BINANIPURAM POLICE STATION.

4. THE DISTRICT SOCIAL WELFARE OFFICER, DISTRICT SOCIAL WELFARE OFFICE, CIVIL STATION,KAKKANAD.

5. SRI.DAVIS,CHRIST CHARITABLE ORGANISATION,BINANIPURAM.

R1 TO R4 BY SR.GOVT. PLEADER MR.M.R.SABU

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 06/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.T.SANKARAN, J.

W.P.(C) No.14714 of 2007

Dated, this the 6th day of June, 2007

JUDGMENT

H.L.Dattu, C.J.

We do not see an iota of public interest in this litigation. The concept of public interest litigation and the locus standi of a person is now explained by the apex Court in many number of decisions. Keeping in view the definition and the explanation that is given by the apex Court on the expression locus standi and the public interest litigation and applying those principles to the facts and circumstances of this case, we are of the opinion that though it is named as public interest litigation, it does not have the characteristics of a public interest litigation. Therefore, we decline to entertain this writ petition. Accordingly it requires to be rejected and it is rejected. Ordered accordingly.

(**H.L.DATTU)**
CHIEF JUSTICE

(K.T.SANKARAN)
JUDGE

vns